## **MULTICRITERIA** DECISION MAKING

#### **Business Decision Making**

Nikola Kadoić





FACULTY OF ORGANIZATION AND INFORMATICS

### THE STRUCTURE



- A. Multi-criteria decision making (MCDM)
- B. SAW simple additive weighting
- 2. Foundations of the pairwise comparisons method
  - A. Saaty scale
  - B. Transitivity concept
- 3. Pairwise comparisons procedure
  - A. Calculating the weights/priorities
  - B. Calcualting the inconsistency in giving judgements
- 4. Using the PC procedure
  - A. Methods AHP
  - B. Applications



Context

Basics

Procedure

- PrOACT approach: decomposition od DM problem into elements
  - Basic elements: Problem, Objectives (criteria, attributes), Alternatives, Consequences and Tradeoffs
  - Elements for decision making in turbulent environment: Risk tolerance, Uncertainty, Linked decisions



foi

Context

Basics

Procedure

- Two decision-making methods groups
  - Methods that support multicriteria decision making (basic PrOACT elements)
  - Methods that support **decision making under uncertainty and risk** (PrOACT elements for decision making in turbulent environment)

#### • Multi-criteria decision-making (MCDM)

- Decomposition of the main decision-making goal into several sub goals that are described with criteria (attributes)
- The MCDM problems can be easily described by using the table od values (matrix of decision-making)
- Alternatives (3), Criteria (3), Consequences/Values (9)

	Education	Experience	CV
Candidate 1	High	5 years	5
Candidate 2	Secondary s	0 years	6
Candidate 3	Secondary s.	2 years	7

Context

Basics

Procedure

- Multicriteria decision making is ... about criteria
- Criteria = attributes
- Types of the criteria:
  - Qualitative (words): color, design, ...
  - Quantitative (numbers): price, weights, height ... two subtypes:
    - Min criteria (criteria of costs): price (when we buy), fuel consumption, ...
    - Max criteria (criteria of benefits): price (when we sell), quality, ...
- Types of the criteria 2:
  - Natural price, consumption, ...
  - Constructed scale measuring the properties on some scale
  - Proxy criteria quality of life is measured with GDP



Context

Basics

Procedure



Multi-criteria decision making

	Time	Cost	Satisf.
Make	100	50	High
Buy	10	150	High
SQ	0	0	OK

	Time	Cost	Satisf.	TP
Μ				
В				
SQ				

- Table of decision making: alternatives, criteria and consequences
- Methods: Evenswaps, Electra, Promethee, Topsis, AHP, ANP, SAW, Dex method, VIKOR, WINGS, SNAP...
- The **results**:
  - Criteria weights
  - Local priorities of the alternatives per each criterion
  - Total priorities of the alternative DECISION!



Context

Basics

Procedure



Simple additive weighting (SAW)

	Time	Cost	Satisf		Time	Cost	Satisf.	TP
	Time	COSt	Satisti					
Make	100	50	High	м				
Buy	10	150	High					
SQ	0	0	OK	B SO				

- Criteria weights ... 5 procedures
- Local priorities of the alternatives per each criterion ... 7 procedures
- Total priorities of the alternativ

$$s_i = w_1 r_{i1} + w_2 r_{i2} + \dots + w_m r_{im} = \sum_{k=1}^m w_k r_{ik}$$

Context

Basics

Procedure

Usage

m

#### THE **BASIC FOUNDATIONS** OF THE TOPIC

#### • Saaty's scale

- Founder: prof. Thomas Saaty
- It describes the relation between two elements
- Values of the scale:
  - 1 = Two elements are equally important
  - 3 = Weak importance of one element over another
  - 5 = Strong importance of one element over another
  - 7 = Demonstrated importance of one element over another
  - 9 = Absolute importance of one element over another
  - All real values from scale [1;9] can be used
  - Reciprocal values are used when a certain element is dominated by another element



Context

Basics

Procedure

Usage

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### THE **BASIC FOUNDATIONS** OF THE TOPIC



Zuzzana

D > Z

### THE **BASIC FOUNDATIONS** OF THE TOPIC



#### IN/CONSISTENCY



www.FOI.unizg.hr



		Time	Cost	Satisf.	TP
		0.43	0.43	0.14	
N	1				
В	3				
S	Q				

What

should I do?

#### **IN/CONSISTENCY**

0.43Input: PC matrix0.43Output: CR

CR<0.1

#### Additional reading

foi

0.43

0.43

0.14

0.14

<

Calculate the alternatives' priorities (for each column)
Time Cost Satisf

Index     Index <thindex< th="">     Index     <thi< th=""></thi<></thindex<>
Investore 10 150 High
BUY IO ISO HIGH A

What

should I do?

Procedure Usage

Basics

Repeat the procedure three times – 3 columns of local priorities!



TP



Calculate the alternatives' priorities (for each column) 

	Time	Cost	Catio		Time	Cost	Satisf.	ТР
	Time	Cost	Satist.		0.43	0.43	0.14	
Make	100	50	High	М				
Buy	10	150	High	R				
SQ	0	0	OK	50				
				SQ				

What

should I do?

Time	М	В	SQ			
Μ	1	1/3	1/5	0.11	0.08	0.13
В	3	1	1/3	0.33	0.23	0.22
SQ	5	3	1	0.55	0.69	0.65
SUM	٥	1.33	1.53			

Context

Basics

Procedure



Calculate the alternatives' priorities (for each column)

			Callet		Time	Cost	Satisf.	ТР
	Time	Cost	Satisf.		0.43	0.43	0.14	
Make	100	50	High	м	0.11			
Buy	10	150	High		0.11			
50		0		В	0.26			
20	0	0	UN	SQ	0.63			

What

should I do?

Cost	Μ	В	SQ			
Μ	1	3	1/2	0.3	0.33	0.29
В	1/3	1	1/5	0.1	0.11	0.18
SQ	2	5	1	0.6	0.55	0.58

1.7



SUM

3.33

9

Context

Basics

Procedure



Calculate the alternatives' priorities (for each column)

					Time	Cost	Satisf.	Т
	lime	Cost	Satisf.		0.43	0.43	0.14	
Make	100	50	High	М	0.11	0.01		
Buy	10	150	High		0.11	0.31		
<u> </u>	10	±00		В	0.26	0.11		
SQ	0	0	OK	SQ	0.63	0.58		

What

should I do?

Satis.	Μ	В	SQ				
Μ	1	1	4	0.44	0.44	0.44	(
В	1	1	4	0.44	0.44	0.44	(
SQ	1⁄4	1⁄4	1	0.12	0.12	0.12	(

**SUM** 

2.25

2.25

Context

Basics

Procedure



Agretating the criteria weights and local priorities in SAW

					Time	Cost	Satisf.	TP
	Time	Cost	Satisf.		0.40	0.40	0.1.4	
Mako	100	50	High		0.43	0.43	0.14	
Mare	100	20	riigii	Μ	0.11	0.31	0.44	0.24
Buy	10	150	High	B	0.26	0 11	0.44	0.22
50	$\cap$	$\bigcirc$	OK		0.20	0.11	0.44	0.22
30	0	0		SQ	0.63	0.58	0.22	0.54

What should I do?



Context

Basics

Calculating the total priorities:

 $s_i = w_1 r_{i1} + w_2 r_{i2} + \dots + w_m r_{im} = \sum_{k=1}^m w_k r_{ik}$ 



 $\bigcirc$ 



Context

Basics

Procedure

Usage

- SIMPLE ADDITIVE WEIGHTING (SAW)
- ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP)
- ANALYTIC NETWORK PROCESS (ANP)



foi

#### • AHP

Context

Basics

Procedure

- The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) (Saaty, 1980) is well known multicriteria decision-making method
- The AHP is a powerful and flexible decision-making method which helps people to set priorities and make the best decision when both qualitative and quantitative aspects of a decision need to be considered.
- The AHP can combine judgments into a single representative judgment for the group and also including the importance of the individuals themselves.

#### • AHP

Context

Basics

Procedure

Usage

- It is a more complex variant of the SAW method and PC method the decision-making problem is more complex
- In the AHP, the criteria are not placed on to one level only there is a hierarchy structure which is more complex

	Price	Fuel	Color	SAW	Price 0,571	FC 0,286	Color 0,143	TP
Aı	50000	6	Blue	Aı	0,540	0,2	0,163	
A2	55000	5	Red	A2	0,297	0,4	0,297	
A3	56000	5	Black	A3	0,163	0,4	0,540	



	To buy a car									
	Quality			Look			Price			
	В	Ρ	F	G	С	D	Ρ	SP	SE	D
OC										
Hi30										
P205										

www.FOI.unizg.hr







P205

) (

#### Applications

- Ranking the hospitals in Croatia
- Planning the traffic in Croatia
- Smooth vehicular flow and safe pedestrian crossing separately (Sri Lanka)
- Garage-parking Facility Location Selection in Croatia
- Planning the traffic safety in Turkey
- Selecting the flight procedure design schemes in China
- Prioritisation of the safety control criteria in maritime traffic
- Evaluation Framework for Key Performance Indicators of Railway ITS
- ...



Context

Basics

Procedure

# ASSIGNMENT 1 PC

• Decision-making table

	Price	Brand	Engine	Fuel comsumtion	Color
Aı	50000	Opel	1.8 diesel	6	Blue
A2	55000	Peugeot	2.2 diesel	5	Red
A3	56000	BMW	2.5 diesel	8	Black

- Calculate the criteria weights using the PC procedure
- Calculate the local priorities of the alternatives using the PC procedure
- Calculate the total priorities of the alternatives



# ASSIGNMENT 2 AHP

- 1. Choose any strategic MCDM problem you want (it can be personal, business etc.) and describe it.
- 2. Define criteria relevant for the problem and present them through the hierarchy (at least 2 levels of the criteria, at least 3 criteria at the first level and at least 12 not-decomposed criteria). Describe the criteria.
- 3. Define at least three alternatives and describe them.
- 4. Make decision making table
- 5. Calculate the weights of the criteria, subcriteria and priorities of the alternatives as well as the total priorities. Calculate the inconsistency ratio for each pairwise comparison table.
- 6. Make the sensitivity analysis changing the weights of each criterion on the first level +/-5% and calculating the total priorities of the alternatives in each change. Make cummulative table. Ex. If you have 3 criteria, you will have 6 sensitivity analyses (each criterion +5%, each criterion -5%). In cummulative table for each sensitivity analysis you have to determine if the firstly ranked alternative stayed first or not.
- 7. Make final decision.
- Make word document. 4000 words. You can use Excel to speedup the calculation procedure.

